

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 691 (2006) 5240-5245

www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Synthesis, structures and catalytic properties of germanium-containing tungsten alkylidene complex Me₃Ge-CH=W(NAr)(OR)₂ and metallacycle [CH(GeMe₃)CH(GeMe₃)CH₂]W(NAr)(OR)₂

Leonid N. Bochkarev *, Yulia E. Begantsova, Andrey L. Bochkarev, Natalia E. Stolyarova, Irina K. Grigorieva, Irina P. Malysheva, Galina V. Basova, Elena O. Platonova, Georgii K. Fukin, Evgenii V. Baranov, Yurii A. Kurskii, Gleb A. Abakumov

G.A. Razuvaev Institute of Organometallic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Tropinina 49, Nizhny Novgorod 603950, Russian Federation

Received 27 June 2006; received in revised form 14 August 2006; accepted 14 August 2006 Available online 22 August 2006

Abstract

Alkylidene complex of tungsten Me₃Ge-CH=W(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (1) (Ar = 2, 6-Pr^{*i*}₂C₆H₃) has been prepared by the reaction of Me₃GeCH=CH₂ with known alkylidene compound Bu^{*i*}-CH=Mo(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ at a molar ratio 1:1. Metallacycle [CH(Ge-Me₃)CH(GeMe₃)CH₂]W(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (**2**) was isolated from the same reaction when twofold excess of Me₃GeCH=CH₂ was used. The both compounds **1** and **2** were structurally characterized. They were found to have low activity in metathesis of 1-hexene and high activity in ROMP of cyclooctene and norbornene.

© 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Keywords: Alkylidene complexes; Metallacycle; Tungsten; Germanium; Synthesis; Structure elucidation; Olefin metathesis; Catalytic activity

1. Introduction

Synthesis and catalytic properties of molybdenum and tungsten alkylidene complexes of the type Alkyl $-CH=M(NAr)(OR')_2$ (M = Mo, W; Alkyl = Bu^t, PhMe₂C; $Ar = 2,6-i-Pr_2C_6H_3$; R' = Bu', CMe_2CF_3 , $CMe(CF_3)_2$) in olefin metathesis reactions are well documented [1]. Much less known about similar molybdenum and tungsten comheteroelement-containing pounds with substituents attached to carbene carbon [2]. We have recently found that catalytic activity of silicon- and germanium-containing alkylidene complexes of molybdenum R₃E-CH=Mo- $(NAr)(OCMe_2CF_3)_2$ (E = Si, Ge; R = Me, Ph) in metathesis of 1-hexene depended essentially on the nature of substituent bonded to carbene carbon atom [3].

Herein, we report the synthesis of the trimethylgermylcontaining tungsten alkylidene complex 1 and also metallacycle 2 and their catalytic properties in metathesis of 1-hexene and ROMP of cyclooctene and norbornene.

2. Results and discussion

For preparation of alkylidene complex **1** we used the known synthetic route, developed originally for preparation of silicon-containing alkylidene complexes of molybdenum [2a] and tungsten [2b]:

$$\begin{array}{c} Bu' - CH = W(NAr)(OCMe_2CF_3)_2 + Me_3GeCH = CH_2 \\ \xrightarrow{Benzene} 1 + Bu' - CH = CH_2 \end{array}$$

The course of the reactions was monitored by ${}^{1}H$ NMR. After completion of the reaction (5 h, at room temperature) the complex 1 was isolated as unstable in air bright-yellow

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +7 8312 627010; fax: +7 8312 627497. *E-mail address:* lnb@iomc.ras.ru (L.N. Bochkarev).

⁰⁰²²⁻³²⁸X/\$ - see front matter @ 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2006.08.029

crystals. Characteristic H_{α} and C_{α} signals were found in NMR spectra at 9.57 ppm and 231.8 ppmr respectively. It should be noted that in benzene- d_6 complex 1 decomposed completely at room temperature within 5 days. According to X-ray data the complex 1 have a *syn*-conformation. The W and Ge atoms have a typical tetrahedral coordination environment (Fig. 1) the same as in early published Me₃E-CH=Mo(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (E = Si, Ge) complexes [2a–4].

All distances at W atom are practically equal to the analogous ones in Me₃E–CH=Mo(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (E = Si, Ge) complexes. The W(1)C(1)Ge(1) angle of 137.7(2)° is also very close to those angles in alkylidene complexes of molybdenum [E = Si (138.5(1)°, Ge(137.6(1)°]. Thus, there are no significant differences in the geometric parameters of **1** and Me₃E–CH=Mo(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (E = Si, Ge) complexes. However, some difference between the complexes Me₃E–CH=Mo(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (E = Si, Ge) (3,4] should be noted. The bond angles M–C–E (M = Mo, W; E = Si, Ge) in the methyl alkylidene complexes (Mo–C–Si – 138.5(1)°, Mo–C–Ge – 137.57(10)°, W–C–Ge – 137.7(2)°) are noticeably smaller than in the analogous

phenyl alkylidene complexes (Mo–C–Si – 144.8(2)°, Mo– C–Ge – 144.1(1)°). Apparently it is due to the different size of the Ph₃E and Me₃E ligands.

The reaction of Bu^t -CH=W(NAr)(OR')₂ with two equivalents of trimethylvinylgermane afforded the metallacycle **2**:

$$\begin{array}{c} Bu^{t} - CH = W(NAr)(OCMe_{2}CF_{3})_{2} + 2Me_{3}GeCH = CH_{2} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Benzene}} \mathbf{2} + Bu^{t} - CH = CH_{2} \end{array}$$

Compound **2** was isolated as unstable in air light-brown crystals. In C_6D_6 it dissociates with the formation of equilibrium mixture containing **1**, Me₃GeCH=CH₂ and **2** in approximately equal amount. According to the X-ray data the W(1) atom has a distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination (Fig. 2). The C(1), C(9) atoms of tungstacyclobutane ring and OCMe₂CF₃ ligand occupy equatorial positions whereas other alkoxide ligand and imido function are placed in apical sites. The spatial configuration of **2** is quite similar to that of the [CH(SiMe₃)CH(SiMe₃)CH₂]W(NAr)-(OCMe(CF₃)₂)₂ compound (**2a**) [2b] and differs somewhat from spatial configuration of [CH₂CH₂CH₂]W(NAr)-(OC(CF₂CF₂CF₃)(CF₃)₂)₂ complex (**2b**) [2b].





Fig. 1. Molecular structure of complex 1 (30% probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): W(1)–N(1) 1.739(3), W(1)–C(1) 1.882(3), W(1)–O(2) 1.893(2), W(1)–O(1) 1.895(2), Ge(1)–C(1) 1.927(4), Ge(1)–C(2) 1.934(4), Ge(1)–C(3) 1.924(5), Ge(1)–C(4) 1.924(4), N(1)–C(13) 1.394(4); N(1)–W(1)–C(1) 103.7(1), N(1)–W(1)–O(2) 112.8(1), C(1)–W(1)–O(2) 108.7(1), N(1)–W(1)–O(1) 111.7(1), C(1)–W(1)–O(1) 110.5(1), O(2)–W(1)–O(1) 109.2(1), C(4)–Ge(1)–C(3) 111.9(2), C(4)–Ge(1)–C(1) 109.4(2), C(3)–Ge(1)–C(1) 109.1(2), C(4)–Ge(1)–C(2) 107.4(2), C(3)–Ge(1)–C(2) 109.5(2), C(1)–Ge(1)–C(2) 109.5(2), C(13)–N(1)–W(1) 169.2(2), W(1)–C(1)–Ge(1) 137.7(2).

Fig. 2. Molecular structure of complex **2** (30% probability thermal ellipsoids). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): W(1)–N(1) 1.769(3), W(1)–O(1) 1.983(2), W(1)–O(2) 1.924(3), W(1)–C(1) 2.058(4), W(1)–C(9) 2.079(4), W(1)–C(5) 2.354(4), Ge(1)–C(2) 1.930(6), Ge(1)–C(3) 1.931(5), Ge(1)–C(4) 1.954(5), Ge(1)–C(1) 1.971(4), Ge(2)–C(6) 1.931(6), Ge(2)–C(8) 1.942(5), Ge(2)–C(7) 1.954(6), Ge(2)–C(5) 1.980(4), O(1)–C(10) 1.406(5), O(2)–C(14) 1.420(5), N(1)–C(18) 1.390(3); N(1)–W(1)–O(2) 97.94(13), N(1)–W(1)–O(1) 173.66(14), O(2)–W(1)–O(1) 83.27(11), N(1)–W(1)–C(1) 92.80(15), O(2)–W(1)–C(1) 134.70(14), O(1)–W(1)–C(1) 90.71(13), N(1)–W(1)–C(9) 90.99(15), O(2)–W(1)–C(9) 141.04(15), O(1)–W(1)–C(9) 84.26(14), C(1)–W(1)–C(9) 82.12(16), N(1)–W(1)–C(5) 99.44(14), O(2)–W(1)–C(5) 162.39(12), O(1)–W(1)–C(5) 79.76(12), C(1)–W(1)–C(5) 41.57(16), C(9)–W(1)–C(5) 41.51(15).

The four-membered -W(1)-C(5)-C(9) metallacycle in **2** is bent. The dihedral angle between W(1)C(1)C9and C(1)C(5)C(9) planes is 20.1° that is a significantly smaller than in **2a** (29.9°) whereas the same metallacycle in **2b** is absolutely flat. The GeMe₃ groups in **2** (the same as SiMe₃ groups in **2a**) occupy a *trans*-positions relatively to each other, thus minimizing a non-bonding repulsion between them. Apparently steric factors in tungstacyclobutane ring in **2** lead to the distortion of metallacycle from the planarity. It should be noted that in complexes $[CH_2CHBu'CH_2]$ - $W(NAr)(OCMe_2(CF_3))_2$ [5] and $[CHBu'CH_2CH_2(CO_2-Me)]W(NAr)(OCMe_2(CF_3))_2$ [6], which also contain substituents in tungstacyclobutane rings, the metallacycles are also bent.

A low quality of the X-ray data obtained for 2a and 2b prevent a proper comparison of WC₃ geometry in 2a and 2b with that in 2 (Fig. 3). However, it can be noted that the bond length W(1)–N(1) in 2 (1.769(3) Å) is noticeably longer than analogous distance in 2a (1.738(9) Å).

The W...C distances in complexes $[CH_2CHBu^tCH_2]W$ -(NAr)(OCMe₂(CF₃))₂ (2.79(1) Å), $[CHBu^tCH_2CH_2$ (CO₂Me)]W(NAr)(OCMe₂(CF₃))₂ (2.772(8) Å) are significantly longer than those in **2–2b**.

The equatorial (1.983(2) Å) and axial (1.924(3) Å) W-O(1,2) distances in **2** are distinctly different. The equatorial alkoxide ligand in **2** is bent away from the imido ligand. The N(1)W(1)O(2) angle in **2** is 97.9(1)°. The same situation is observed in **2a** $(97.3(4)^{\circ})$. The analogous angle in **2b** is significantly bigger $(102.1(6)^{\circ})$. It is interesting to note that the axial W–O–C angle increase from **2** $(141.0(3)^{\circ})$ to

2a $(149.3(8)^{\circ})$ and to **2b** $(172.4(13)^{\circ})$. The same tendency is also observed for equatorial W–O–C angles $(136.5(2)^{\circ} \text{ in } 2, 140.4(9)^{\circ} \text{ in } 2a$ and $146.6(11)^{\circ} \text{ in } 2b$). In fact this tendency reflects both the increase of electronegativity of alkoxide ligands in 2–2b and the change of their steric sizes.

The alkylidene complex **1** and metallacycle **2** were found to reveal low catalytic activity in metathesis of neat 1-hexene. The rate constants $(0.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Lmol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ and } 1.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Lmol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **1** and **2**, respectively) are one order of magnitude lesser than rate constant $(1.73 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Lmol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ of the same reaction with similar germanium-containing molybdenum alkylidene complex Me₃Ge– CH=Mo(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ as catalyst [3].

Ring opening polymerization of cyclooctene and norbornene initiated by complex 1 and metallacycle 2 proceeds readily at room temperature. The polyoctenylenes formed were found to have predominantly *trans* configuration while polynorbornenes – predominantly *cis* configuration. Some characteristics of polymeric materials are presented in Table 1. Unfortunately we so far could not determine the molecular weights of the polyoctenylenes because of their insufficient solubility in THF, CHCl₃ and other common organic solvents necessary for GPC experiments.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

All manipulations were carried out in evacuated sealed ampoules using standard Schlenk techniques. The solvents



Fig. 3. The geometry of WC_3 fragments in 2–2b.

Table 1	
Some characteristics	of obtained polymers

	-						
Catalyst	Monomer	Conversion (%)	Cis:trans ratio	$M_{ m w}$	$M_{ m n}$	PDI	<i>T</i> _m (°C)
1	Cyclooctene ^a	88	11:89				63.0 ± 0.3
	Norbornene ^b	75	86:14	368 600	175300	2.10	57.6 ± 0.4
2	Cyclooctene ^c	92	17:83				49.6 ± 0.1
	Norbornene ^d	78	84:16	81 300	42 300	1.92	60.0 ± 0.1

^a [Monomer]:[Catalyst] = 100.

^b [Monomer]:[Catalyst] = 250.

^c [Monomer]:[Catalyst] = 43.

^d [Monomer]:[Catalyst] = 182.

were thoroughly dried and degassed. Compound Bu^t-CH=W(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ [2c] and Me₃GeCH=CH₂ [7] were prepared according to a literature procedure. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-200 NMR spectrometer. The chemical shifts are reported in parts per million with tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm) as the internal standard. The molecular-weight distribution (MWD) of polynorbornenes was determined by gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) using a set of five styrogel columns with a pore diameter of 1×10^5 , 3×10^4 , 1×10^4 , 1×10^3 and, 250 Å (Waters, USA). The detector was an R-403 differential refractometer (Waters), and the eluent was tetrahydrofuran. Narrow-MWD polystyrene references were used for calibration. The contents of *cis* and *trans* units in the polymers were determined by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy according to a literature procedure [8-10]. Melting temperatures of the polymers were determined using differential scanning calorimeter

3.2. Preparation of (2,6-diisopropylphenylimido)-bis(1,1dimethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroethanolato)-(trimethylgermylmethylidene)-tungsten (1)

10 °C/min from 10 to 80 °C.

(NETZSCH DSC 204 F1) using a first heating rate of

A solution of Me₃GeCH=CH₂ (0.20 g, 1.38 mmol) in 2 mL of benzene was added to a solution of Bu^{t} - $CH=W(NAr)(OCMe_2CF_3)_2$ (1.0 g, 1.46 mmol) in 3 mL of benzene. The reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 5 h. Evaporation of the solvent and volatiles in vacuo and crystallization of the solid residue from pentane afforded 0.49 g (45.0%) of 1 as bright-yellow crystals. Anal. Calc. for C₂₄H₃₉F₆GeNO₂W: C, 38.75; H, 5.28. Found: C, 38.87; H, 5.21%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 9.57 (s, 1H, WCHGeMe₃), 7.20-6.90 (m, 3H, H_{arom}), 3.67 (sept, 2H, CHMe₂), 1.34 and 1.26 (s, 6H each, OCMe₂CF₃), 1.24 (d, 12H, CHMe₂), 0.23 (s, 9H, WCHGeMe₃). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 231.8 (WCHGeMe₃), 152.5 (C_{ipso}), 144.7 (C_o), 127.1 (q, $J_{CF} = 285$ Hz, CF_3), 126.6 (C_p), 122.8 ($C_{\rm m}$), 79.9 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF} = 28.8$ Hz, OCMe₂CF₃), 28.2 (OCMe MeCF₃), 24.6 (CHMe₂), 24.1 (OCMeMeCF₃), 23.7 (CHMe₂), 1.4 (MoCHGeMe₃).

3.3. Preparation of 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimido)-1,1bis(1,1-dimethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroethanolato)-2,3bis(trimethylgermyl)-1-tungstacyclobutane (2)

A solution of Me₃GeCH=CH₂ (0.65 g, 4.46 mmol) in 2 mL of benzene was added to a solution of Bu^{*t*}– CH=W(NAr)(OCMe₂CF₃)₂ (1.5 g, 2.19 mmol) in 5 mL of benzene. The reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 5 h. Slow evaporation of the solvent and volatiles in vacuo at room temperature led to the formation of light-brown crystals of **2**. The isolated yield was 1.17 g (60.0%). Anal. Calc. for C₂₉H₅₁F₆Ge₂NO₂W: C, 39.19; H, 5.74. Found: C, 39.17; H, 5.77%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆) 7.00–6.65 (m, 3H, H_{arom}), 5.43 (m, 1H, J_{HH} = 4.8 and 10.0 Hz, $\alpha CHH'$), 4.12–4.00 (m 4H, $\alpha CHH'$, $\alpha CH-GeMe_3$, $CHMe_2$), 1.61 (d, 6H, $OCMe_2CF_3$), 1.51 (d, 6H, $OCMe_2CF_3$), 1.26 (m, 12H, $CHMe_2$), 0.47 (s, 9H, $GeMe_3$), 0.06 (s, 9H, $GeMe_3$), -0.74 (q, 1H, $J \approx 9.9$ Hz, $\beta CHGeMe_3$).

3.4. Metathesis of 1-hexene

The kinetic experiments and determinations of rate constants were performed as described in the literature [3,11,12]. In a typical experiment to an ampoule containing 35.8 mg of catalyst and connected with gas burette 1.234 g (1.46 mL) of neat 1-hexene was added in argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred magnetically. Amount of ethylene was determined volumometrically.

3.5. Polymerization of cyclooctene

To an ampoule containing 0.045 g (0.061 mmol) of catalyst 1 0.68 g (6.1 mmol) of cyclooctene was added at room temperature. The mixture was magnetically stirred and in a less than a minute became light-orange transparent solid. The polyoctenylene formed was purified three times by precipitation by methanol from CHCl₃ and dried in vacuum at room temperature until the weight was not changed. The yield was 0.59 g (88%). Polymerization of cyclooctene using compound 2 as catalyst was carried out in similar manner. The polymerization time was 2 h. From 0.42 g (3.8 mmol) of cyclooctene and 0.08 g (0.090 mmol) of catalyst 2 0.40 g (92%) of polyoctenylene was obtained.

3.6. Polymerization of norbornene

To an ampoule containing 0.0297 g (0.040 mmol) of catalyst 1 in 2 mL of benzene 0.94 g (10.0 mmol) of norbornene in 3 mL of benzene was added at room temperature. The mixture was magnetically stirred and in less than a minute became light-orange transparent viscous and after that the polynorbornene formed was purified three times by precipitation by methanol from CHCl₃ and dried in vacuum at room temperature until the weight was not changed. The yield was 0.71 g (75%). Polymerization of norbornene using compound 2 as catalyst was carried out in similar manner. The polymerization time was 2 min. From 0.65 g (6.9 mmol) of norbornene and 0.0344 g (0.039 mmol) of catalyst 2 0.51 g (78%) of polynorbornene was obtained.

3.7. Crystallographic data for 1 and 2

The data were collected on a Bruker AXS "SMART APEX" diffractometer (graphite-monochromator, Mo K α -radiation, $\varphi - \omega$ -scan technique, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The intensity data were integrated in the SAINT program [13]. SADABS [14] was used to perform area-detector scaling and absorption corrections. The structures were solved by

Table 2					
The details of crystallographic,	collection	and ref	finement	data for	1 and 2

	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_{24}H_{39}F_6GeNO_2W$	$C_{29}H_{51}F_6Ge_2NO_2W$
Formula weight	744.00	888.74
Temperature (K)	100(2)	100(2)
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2(1)/c	<i>P</i> 2(1)/n
a (Å)	10.2484(6)	17.8086(9)
b (Å)	30.7012(19)	11.9442(6)
<i>c</i> (Å)	10.6441(7)	18.3515(10)
β (°)	118.570(1)	112.711(1)
Volume (Å ³)	2941.2(3)	3600.9(3)
Ζ	4	4
Density (calculated) (g/cm ³)	1.680	1.639
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	4.988	4.901
<i>F</i> (000)	1464	1760
Crystal size (mm)	$0.22 \times 0.06 \times 0.03$	$0.34 \times 0.15 \times 0.14$
$\theta_{\rm max}$ Range for data collection °	29.12	26.00
Index ranges	$-14 \leq h \leq 13, -41 \leq k \leq 42, -14 \leq l \leq 14$	$-14 \leq h \leq 21, -14 \leq k \leq 14, -22 \leq l \leq 22$
Reflections collected	30808	21 043
Independent reflections $[R_{int}]$	7830 [0.0327]	7067 [0.0286]
Absorption correction	SADABS	
Maximum/minimum transmission	0.8648/0.4067	0.5470/0.2866
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	
Data/restraints/parameters	7830/0/320	7067/20/358
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.102	1.038
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0341, wR_2 = 0.0792$	$R_1 = 0.0408, wR_2 = 0.0983$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0417, wR_2 = 0.0819$	$R_1 = 0.0477, wR_2 = 0.1010$
Largest difference in peak and hole (e $Å^{-3}$)	2.343 and -1.301	2.422 and -1.044

direct methods and were refined on F^2 using all reflections with SHELXTL package [15]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms in 1 and 2 were placed in calculated positions and refined in the "riding-model". The details of crystallographic, collection and refinement data are shown in the Table 2. CCDC-611758 1 and 611759 2 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/const/retrieving.html [or from the Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223/ 336 033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Project Nos. 05-03-32694 and 06-03-32728) and by the Grant of President of Russian Federation (Project MK - 1832.2005.3 and Project NSh 8017.2006.3). The authors thank Mrs. A.V. Arapova for DSC analysis of polymeric materials.

References

- [1] (a) R.R. Schrock, Chem. Rev. 102 (2002) 145;
 - (b) M. Schuster, S. Blechert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 36 (1997) 2036;
 - (c) R.R. Schrock, Tetrahedron 55 (1999) 8141;
 - (d) J.W. Herndon, Coordin. Chem. Rev. 243 (2003) 3;

- (e) M.R. Buchmeiser, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 1565;
- (f) R.R. Schrock, Chem. Commun. (2005) 2773;
- (g) R.R. Schrock, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 (2006) 3748.
- [2] (a) R.R. Schrock, J.S. Murdzek, G.C. Bazan, B.J. Robbins, M. DiMare, M. O'Regan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 3875;
 (b) R.R. Schrock, R.T. DePue, J. Feldman, C.J. Schaverien, J.C. Dewan, A.H. Lui, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 1423;
 (c) R.R. Schrock, R.T. Depue, J. Feldman, K.B. Yap, D.C. Yang, W.M. Davis, L. Park, M. DiMare, M. Schofield, J. Anhaus, E. Walborsky, E. Evitt, C. Krüger, P. Betz, Organometallics 9 (1990) 2262;
 - (d) P.A. van der Schaaf, D.M. Grove, W.J.J. Smeets, A.L. Spek, G. van Koten, Organometallics 12 (1993) 3955;
 - (e) P.A. van der Schaaf, R.A.T.M. Abbenhuis, W.P.A. van der Noort, R. De Graaf, D.M. Grove, W.J.J. Smeets, A.L. Spek, G. van Koten, Organometallics 13 (1994) 1433.
- [3] L.N. Bochkarev, Yu.E. Begantsova, V.I. Shcherbakov, N.E. Stolyarova, I.K. Grigorieva, I.P. Malysheva, G.V. Basova, A.L. Bochkarev, Yu.P. Barinova, G.K. Fukin, E.V. Baranov, Yu.A. Kurskii, G.A. Abakumov, J. Organomet. Chem. 690 (2005) 5720.
- [4] L.N. Bochkarev, A.V. Nikitinskii, Y.E. Begantsova, V.I. Shcherbakov, N.E. Stolyarova, I.K. Grigorieva, I.P. Malysheva, G.V. Basova, G.K. Fukin, E.V. Baranov, Yu.A. Kurskii, G.A. Abakumov, J. Organomet. Chem. 690 (2005) 3212.
- [5] J. Feldman, W.M. Davis, R.R. Schrock, Organometallics 8 (1989) 2266.
- [6] J. Feldman, J.S. Murdzek, W.M. Davis, R.R. Schrock, Organometallics 8 (1989) 2260.
- [7] M.C. Henry, J.G. Noles, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82 (1960) 555.
- [8] P. Dounis, W.J. Feast, A.M. Kenwright, Polymer 3b (1995) 2787.
- [9] I. Czeluśniak, T. Szymańska-Buzar, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 190 (2002) 131.
- [10] K.J. Ivin, D.T. Laverty, J.J. Rooney, Makromol. Chem. 178 (1977) 1545.

- [11] H.H. Fox, R.R. Schrock, R. O'Dell, Organometallics 13 (1994) 635.
- [12] K.B. Wagener, K. Brzezinska, J.D. Anderson, T.R. Younkin, K. Steppe, W. DeBoer, Momolecules 30 (1997) 7363.
- [13] Bruker SAINTPlus Data Reduction and Correction Program v. 6.02a, Bruker AXS, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2000.
- [14] G.M. Sheldrick, SADABS v.2.01, Bruker/Siemens Area Detector Absorption Correction Program, Bruker AXS, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1998.
- [15] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL v. 6.12, Structure Determination Software Suite, Bruker AXS, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2000.